

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

PRIOR TO FILING				TO: ACTION BRANCH	
1. Fill in Sect. 2. on back. Detach back flap and forward to RID. 2. Destroy <del>Oct 16</del> <sup>10 21 AM '64</sup> unless it records significant action taken or comments requiring preservation.				If file no. and title shown are not proper, insert proper file no. below and forward document to RID, AN.	
FROM:				PROPER FILE NO.	
RID/ <i>AN</i>				TO: ALL ADDRESSEES FILL IN SECTION 1 ON BACK, IF APPROPRIATE COMMENTS	
TO	ROOM NO.	DATE	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS
WH/SA/ESC		29 Oct 64			<i>Go</i>
2. WH/SA/MUR/CI		29 OCT 1964			<i>WV</i>
3.					<i>WV</i>
4.		MED			<i>WV</i>
5.					
6. <sup>03</sup> <i>For subell</i> WH/SA/IOS		3 Nov 64			<i>WV</i>
7.					
8.					
9.					
10. WH/SA/MUR/CI		29 OCT 1964			<i>WV</i>
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					
16.					
17. RID/CI					
TRACE REQUEST			ABSTRACT		
			INDEX		
DATE MICROFILMED			FILE NUMBER (PRIMARY COPY)		
			701-251689		
			DOCUMENT DATE		
			21. Oct 1.4		
			DOCUMENT NUMBER		
			UFGA 17654		

COPY DETACHED

ours - though were  
daily stored as to  
plansNote III Security  
re CA; pls look intoThis our  
4: Check 201 p.b. a CI/CA  
we clearance status. If  
still valid advise Wane  
for their info. If not  
take appropriate action.6-10: POA granted  
7 Aug. 64 (regard CSA)  
~~Wane advised 6 Oct. 64~~  
via UFGW 4508RETURN TO CIA  
Background Use Only  
Do Not Reproduce

1/BR

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

PROCESSING

PRO- FILED	ACTION	ACTION PLISHED
	MARKED FOR INDEXING	
X	NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
	ONLY QUALIFIED HEADQUARTERS DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING	
	ABSTRACT	
	ENCLOSURE	

TO Deputy Chief, WH/SA

INFO

FROM

Chief of Station, DCWAVE 2122

SUBJECT

TYPIC/Operational

C Evaluation of AMCLATTER/1

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

ACTION REQUIRED: FYI

## I. INTRODUCTION

A. Subject's specific assignments have been delimited for the past two years by several considerations beyond his control:

1. Prior to the Bay of Pigs, Subject was used as a principal agent in exile operations which were semi-covert at best. In the process, he was thoroughly blown as a KUBARK agent.

2. After the Bay of Pigs, those Cuban exiles who blamed the U.S. Government for the defeat found Subject an easy target of their wrath. Subject staunchly defended the U.S. action (or lack of action), and thereby won himself a number of bitter enemies among the exiles.

3. As an outgrowth of points 1 and 2 above, Subject's statements and opinions on the situation in Cuba, or on the worth of individuals or groups in exile, were either mistakenly considered to be "official leaks," or else were deliberately exaggerated and twisted in going around the exile community.

B. To remedy this situation, Subject's cover as a prizefight manager was strengthened by more actual participation in the activities of his boxer, and supplemented by a backstopped cover firm which purportedly used his services as a translator and consultant. Instead of

(Continued)

Distribution:  
13 - DC/WH/SA

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

201-251689

DATE TYPED	DATE DIS- MISSED
20 Oct 64	OCT 26 1964
DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	
UFGA - 17854	
HEADQUARTERS FILE NUMBER	
201 - 231689	

CROSS REFERENCE TO

CS COPY

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

CONTINUATION OF  
DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

~~SECRET~~

UFGA - 17854

trying to put Subject into deep cover, which was impractical, the vague legend was allowed to circulate that he still retained direct contact with KUBARK but was no longer involved in operations. He was given strict instructions to make it clear to anyone volunteering information that he would promise only to forward the report through proper channels and that if there was any interest in follow-up or operational involvement, it would be expressed through some other channel. By and large, this effort has been successful, and Subject can be considered at this juncture fully extricated from his former adverse operational situation.

II. RECRUITMENT

A. Subject was a regular contact of ODENVY when turned over to KUBARK in mid 1959.

B. Recruitment was not covert. Subject knew that he was dealing with KUBARK.

C. Recruitment was handled by the COS, Havana Station in true name.

D. Subject was originally recruited as a source of political information using the natural access provided by his job with the Cuban Government.

E. Salary at the time of recruitment was in terms of spot payments for operational expenses. After Subject and his family were evacuated from Cuba in 1960 he was put on contract at \$300 per month. His salary is now \$500 per month.

III. SECURITY STATUS

A. POA - No record at JMWAVE.

B. QA - Written contract and contract check sheet in Subject's 201 file give evidence that appropriate clearance formalities were completed successfully at Headquarters, but the details are not available at JMWAVE.

C. Subject passed his LCFLUTTER in April 1964. Results were forwarded to Headquarters in UFGA - 15349.

D. Although Subject has a great love of "the game," he tends to favor the less covert aspects of operations such as investigation and interview. This is a natural outgrowth of his police experience.

E. There are no major violations of security on Subject's record. Due to the semi-covert assignments he carried out among large numbers of witting contacts in the early days of JMWAVE activities, he was bereft of any workable cover by mid-1962 when the undersigned took him over. From that date to the present there have been a number of reports that Subject "claimed to represent KUBARK" or stated that "KUBARK policy was...." It should be noted that his recent LCFLUTTER gave no confirmation of any such allegations.

IV. PHYSICAL CONDITION

A. Subject had a physical check-up in February 1964 at which time his condition was considered normal.

B. Subject appears to be in good physical condition at this time.

V. CHRONOLOGICAL LISTING OF ASSIGNMENTS

See paragraph I.

VI. EVALUATION

A. Subject's major duty has been reporting. Compared to the average staff officer, he may be rated as adequate in the mechanics of reporting, but proficient in its other essential elements.

~~SECRET~~

UFGA - 17854

sensing valuable leads and following them up with pertinent questions.

B. He is not deft at elicitation, and he seems to be aware of this shortcoming. He prefers the straight interview which he reports fully and accurately, and then adds footnotes with his comments.

C. His comments are sometimes as valuable as the reports, and usually they at least enhance the value of his reports. These comments are based on recollections from his long and wide experience with the Cuban problem, and on the voluminous personal files which he has established and maintained on individuals and groups of interest.

D. He is also a tireless leg man when tracking down an odd bit of information, and he has shown a good amount of personal courage in dealing with characters known for violence.

E. On the deficit side, it should be noted that having been so closely involved, Subject is not without strong opinions on many of the matters he reports, and finds it difficult to be completely objective in his choice of words.

#### VII. FUTURE POTENTIAL

A. His operational potential would appear to be quite good if firmness and determination to succeed are factors. He probably would be more effective in an operation where mental toughness rather than tact and guile was required.

B. Because of his language proficiency and area knowledge, he should stay in anti-Cuban operations as long as it is feasible. He might be considered for a move out of the JMWAVE area, however, and probably could function well in any of the Latin American countries except Brazil.

#### VIII. RECOMMENDED TRAINING

Subject completed a six-weeks basic tradecraft course in September 1964. His next training assignment should be based on his specific operational use in the future.

*[Signature]* 03  
for Andrew K. REUTEMAN

CS COPY

201-251689

11BR